

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,092	KATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725	

All Participants:

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

(2) Mr. Stein.

Status of Application: under non-final office action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2004

Time: 11 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claim language was discussed. It was agreed that in line 9 of claim 12, "casting roll" should be changed to "second sweeper brushing device" to render the meaning definite.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)